arXiv:1509.00616v1 [math.FA] 2 Sep 2015

PELLER'S PROBLEM CONCERNING KOPLIENKO-NEIDHARDT TRACE FORMULAE : THE UNITARY CASE

CLEMENT COINE, CHRISTIAN LE MERDY, DENIS POTAPOV, FEDOR SUKOCHEV, AND ANNA TOMSKOVA

Abstract. We prove the existence of a C^2-function $f\colon \mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{C}$ defined on the unit circle, a unitary operator U and a self-adjoint operator Z in the Hilbert-Schmidt class $\mathcal{S}^2$, such that

$$f(e^{iZ}U)-f(U)-\frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0}\notin\mathcal{S}^1,$$

the space of trace class operators. This resolves a problem of Peller concerning the validity of the Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formula for unitaries.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 47A55, 47B10, 47A56.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with the standard trace Tr. For any $1\le p\le\infty$, let $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$ denote the Schatten p-class over $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathbb{T}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}\,:\,|z|=1\}$ denote the unit circle of the complex plane. Let f be a function on $\mathbb{T}$, admitting a decomposition $f(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}c_nz^n$, $z\in\mathbb{T}$ with $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}|nc_n|<\infty$. Let $U\in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unitary operator and let $Z\in\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator. In 1962, M. G. Krein proved a result (see [10, Theorem 2]) implying that there exists a unique function $\eta\in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ (not depending on f) such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\big(f(e^{iZ}U)-f(U)\big)=\int_{\mathbb{T}}f'(z)\eta(z)dz. \tag{1}$$

The function η above is called Lifshits-Krein spectral shift function, it plays an important role in scattering theory, where it appears in the formula of the determinant of scattering matrix (for detailed discussion we refer to [4] and references therein, see also self-adjoint version of formula (1) in [9]).

Observe that the right-hand side of (1) makes sense for every Lipschitz function f. In 1964, M. G. Krein [11] discussing a self-adjoint version of formula (1) (introduced in 1953, see [9, Theorem 4]) conjectured that the left-hand side of (1) also makes sense for every Lipschitz function f.

The best result to date concerning the description of the class of functions for which the left-hand side of (1) makes sense is due to V. Peller in [12], who established that for $f\in B^1_{\infty 1}$ (for definition of the Besov classes see [12] and references therein). However, there is an example of a continuously differentiable function f, a unitary operator U and a self-adjoint operator $Z\in\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$f(e^{iZ}U)-f(U)\notin\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H}).$$

Such an example can be found in [12] (see also additional discussion and references in [8], and [15], [2], [5], [6]).

Let now $f\in C^2(\mathbb{T})$, let $U\in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a unitary operator and let $Z\in\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator. Then the difference operator $f(e^{iZ}U)-f(U)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and the function $t\mapsto f(e^{itZ}U)-f(U)$ from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ is differentiable, see e.g. [14, (2.7)]. Let $\frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0}$ denote its derivative at $t = 0$. In [14, Problem 1], in connection with the validity of the so-called Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formula, V. V. Peller asked whether the operator

$$f(e^{iZ}U) - f(U) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0} \tag{2}$$

necessarily belongs to $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H})$ under these assumptions. He proved that this holds true whenever f belongs to the Besov class $B^2_{\infty 1}$ and derived a Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formula in this case. The main purpose of this paper is to devise a counter-example which shows that Peller's question has a negative answer, see Theorem 12 below.

In the preceding paper [7] we proved the following result: there exists a C^2-function $f\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with a bounded second derivative, a self-adjoint (unbounded) operator A on $\mathcal{H}$ and a self-adjoint operator B in $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$f(A+B) - f(A) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(A+tB)\big)_{|t=0} \notin \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H}).$$

This answered in negative another question raised by V. V. Peller in [14]. It should be noted that the first step of the proof of Theorem 12 follows the proof of the main result of [7], in the sense that we apply a formula obtained in [7, Theorem 6] (restated below as Theorem 1). However the key ideas of our approach here are completely different from those in [7]. Indeed, to construct our example we consider bounded (unitary) operators only, and therefore we have to work with functions whose derivatives have singular points belonging to $\mathbb{T}$, whereas in [7] such points were based at infinity. Our analysis here is partly based on results from [1], where some fine estimates for operator-functions of such type were obtained.

In Section 2 we give some background and preliminary results on bilinear Schur products and multiple operator integrals in the finite dimensional setting. In Section 3 we establish a new formula relating the operator (2) to the actions of appropriate multiple operator integrals. Section 4 consists of various finite dimensional estimates concerning multiple operator integrals. The main result is established in Section 5.

We end this introduction with a few notation. Throughout we let $\sigma(A)$ denote the spectrum of an operator A and we let $\|\ \|_p$ denote the norm on the Schatten space $\mathcal{S}^p(\mathcal{H})$. For any integer $n \geq 1$, we let ℓ^2_n be the space $\mathbb{C}^n$ equipped with its standard Hilbertian structure and we let M_n be the space of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{C}$. Further for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we let $\mathcal{S}^p_n$ denote this matrix space equipped with the Schatten p-norm $\|\cdot\|_p$.

2. MULTIPLE OPERATOR INTEGRALS IN THE FINITE DIMENSIONAL CASE

For any double-indexed family $M = \{m_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$, we let $L_M\colon M_n \to M_n$ denote the linear Schur multiplier defined by

$$L_M(X) = [m_{ij}x_{ij}], \qquad X = [x_{ij}] \in M_n.$$

Likewise for any triple-indexed family $M = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,j,k=1}^n$, we let $B_M\colon M_n \times M_n \to M_n$ denote the bilinear Schur multiplier defined by

$$B_M(X,Y) = \left[\sum_{k=1}^n m_{ikj}x_{ik}y_{kj}\right], \qquad X = [x_{ij}],\ Y = [y_{ij}] \in M_n.$$

The following result from [7] will provide a key estimate in the resolution of Peller's problem.

Theorem 1. [7, Theorem 6] *Consider a triple-indexed family* $M = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,j,k=1}^n$ *and for any* $k = 1, \ldots, n$, *set* $M(k) = \{m_{ikj}\}_{i,j=1}^n$. *Then we have*

$$\big\|B_M \colon \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \to \mathcal{S}_n^1\big\| \,=\, \sup_{1\leq k\leq n} \big\|L_{M(k)} \colon \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \to \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\big\|.$$

We now present the finite dimensional versions of double operator integrals (resp. triple operator integrals) associated to a pair (resp. a triple) of normal operators. We follow [7, Subsections 3.1 and 3.2]. In the latter paper, we considered self-adjoint operators only, however the extension to the normal case is straightforward.

Let $U_0, U_1 \in B(\ell_n^2)$ be normal operators. For $j = 0, 1$, consider an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^n$ of eigenvectors for U_j, let $\{z_i^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^n$ be the associated n-tuple of eigenvalues, that is, $U_j(\xi_i^{(j)}) = z_i^{(j)}\xi_i^{(j)}$, and let $P_i^{(j)}$ denote the orthogonal projection onto the linear span of $\xi_i^{(j)}$. Then U_0 and U_1 have spectral decompositions

$$U_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^{(0)} P_i^{(0)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad U_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k^{(1)} P_k^{(1)}.$$

For any function $\phi\colon \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$, we let $T_\phi^{U_0,U_1} : B(\ell_n^2) \to B(\ell_n^2)$ be the linear operator defined by

$$T_\phi^{U_0,U_1}(X) = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \phi(z_i^{(0)}, z_k^{(1)}) P_i^{(0)} X P_k^{(1)}, \quad X \in B(\ell_n^2).$$

Next let $U_2 \in B(\ell_n^2)$ be a third normal operators. Again consider a spectral decomposition

$$U_2 = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{(2)} P_j^{(2)},$$

that is, $P_1^{(2)}, \ldots, P_n^{(2)}$ are pairwise orthogonal rank one projections and $z_1^{(2)}, \ldots, z_n^{(2)}$ are eigenvalues of U_2.

For any $\psi\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$, we let $T_\psi^{U_0,U_1,U_2} : B(\ell_n^2) \times B(\ell_n^2) \to B(\ell_n^2)$ be the bilinear operator defined by

$$(3) \quad T_\psi^{U_0,U_1,U_2}(X,Y) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \psi(z_i^{(0)}, z_k^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}) P_i^{(0)} X P_k^{(1)} Y P_j^{(2)}, \quad X, Y \in B(\ell_n^2).$$

The following results relate the norms of the above operators to the norms of certain Schur mutlipliers. The (easy) proofs of these equalities are explained in [7].

Lemma 2. *Let* $U_0, U_1, U_2 \in B(\ell_n^2)$ *be normal operators.*

(a) *For any function* $\phi\colon \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$, *consider the double-indexed family* $M_\phi = \big\{\phi(z_i^{(0)}, z_k^{(1)})\big\}_{i,k=1}^n$. *Then*

$$\big\|T_\phi^{U_0,U_1} \colon \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \to \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\big\| \,=\, \big\|L_{M_\phi} \colon \mathcal{S}_n^\infty \to \mathcal{S}_n^\infty\big\|.$$

(b) *For any function* $\psi\colon \mathbb{C}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$, *consider the triple-indexed family* $M_\psi = \big\{\psi(z_i^{(0)}, z_k^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)})\big\}_{i,j,k=1}^n$. *Then*

$$\big\|T_\psi^{U_0,U_1,U_2} \colon \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \to \mathcal{S}_n^1\big\| \,=\, \big\|B_{M_\psi} \colon \mathcal{S}_n^2 \times \mathcal{S}_n^2 \to \mathcal{S}_n^1\big\|.$$

The definition (3) only depends on the value of ψ on the product of the spectra of the operators U_0, U_1, U_2. Hence in the definition of $T_\psi^{U_0,U_1,U_2}$, the function ψ could be defined only on a subset of $\mathbb{C}^3$ containing the product of these spectra. A similar comment applies to the definition of $T_\phi^{U_0,U_1}$. In the sequel, the normal operators U_j will be unitaries and we will deal with functions ψ (resp. ϕ) defined on $\mathbb{T}^3$ (resp. on $\mathbb{T}^2$).

We will need the following approximation lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $U_0, U_1, U_2 \in B(\ell_n^2)$ be unitary operators and let $(F_m)_m$ be a sequence of unitaries such that $F_m \to U_0$ in the uniform operator topology as $m \to \infty$. Let $\psi \in C(\mathbb{T}^3)$. Then*

$$T_\psi^{F_m,U_1,U_2} \longrightarrow T_\psi^{U_0,U_1,U_2} \quad \textit{as } m \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let $F \in B(\ell_n^2)$ be any unitary operator. Consider a spectral decomposition $F = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i P_i$. Let $X, Y \in B(\ell_n^2)$. According to (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_\psi^{F,U_1,U_2}(X,Y) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \psi(z_i, z_k^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}) P_i X P_k^{(1)} Y P_j^{(2)} \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(z_i, z_k^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}) P_i \Big) X P_k^{(1)} Y P_j^{(2)} \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \psi(F, z_k^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}) X P_k^{(1)} Y P_j^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(F, z_k^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)})$ is the operator obtained by applying the continuous functional calculus of F to $\psi(\,\cdot\,, z_k^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)})$.

For any $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$, the mapping $F \mapsto \varphi(F)$ is continuous from the set ot unitaries of $B(\ell_n^2)$ into $B(\ell_n^2)$. Hence for any $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\psi(F_m, z_k^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}) \longrightarrow \psi(U_0, z_k^{(1)}, z_j^{(2)}) \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

From the above computation we deduce that for any $X, Y \in B(\ell_n^2)$,

$$T_\psi^{F_m,U_1,U_2}(X,Y) \longrightarrow T_\psi^{U_0,U_1,U_2}(X,Y) \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

Since $T_\psi^{F_m,U_1,U_2}$ and $T_\psi^{U_0,U_1,U_2}$ act on a finite dimensional space, this proves the result. ☐

Remark 4. Similarly for any unitary operators $U_0, U_1 \in B(\ell_n^2)$, for any sequence $(F_m)_m$ of unitaries on ℓ_n^2 such that $F_m \to U_0$ as $m \to \infty$, and for any $\phi \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$, we have

$$T_\phi^{F_m,U_1} \longrightarrow T_\phi^{U_0,U_1} \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

3. From Peller's problem to multiple operator integrals

Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$. The divided difference of the first order is the function $f^{[1]} \colon \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$f^{[1]}(z_0, z_1) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(z_0) - f(z_1)}{z_0 - z_1}, & \text{if } z_0 \neq z_1 \\ \frac{d}{dz} f(z)_{|z=z_0} & \text{if } z_0 = z_1 \end{cases}, \quad z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{T}.$$

This is continuous function, symmetric in the two variables (z_0, z_1).

Assume further that $f \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then the divided difference of the second order is the function $f^{[2]} \colon \mathbb{T}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$f^{[2]}(z_0, z_1, z_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{f^{[1]}(z_0, z_1) - f^{[1]}(z_1, z_2)}{z_0 - z_2}, & \text{if } z_0 \neq z_2, \\ \frac{d}{dz} f^{[1]}(z, z_1)_{|z=z_0}, & \text{if } z_0 = z_2 \end{cases}, \quad z_0, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Note that $f^{[2]}$ is a continuous function, which is symmetric in the three variables (z_0, z_1, z_2).

Double and triple operator integrals built on divided differences provide remarkable formulations for the functional calculus of normal operators. Here we restrict to unitaries. First whenever $U_0, U_1 \in B(\ell_n^2)$ are unitary operators and $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$, then

$$f(U_0) - f(U_1) = T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_0,U_1}(U_0 - U_1). \tag{4}$$

The elementary argument in [7, Subsection 3.4] yields this well-known identity. See [14, (2.4)] and the references therein for the validity of that formula in the infinite dimensional setting.

Second, let $Z \in B(\ell_n^2)$ be a self-adjoint operator and let $U \in B(\ell_n^2)$ be a unitary operator. Then the function $t \mapsto f(e^{itZ}U)$ is differentiable and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0} = T_{f^{[1]}}^{U,U}(iZU). \tag{5}$$

Indeed by (4), we have

$$\frac{f(e^{itZ}U) - f(U)}{t} = T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{itZ}U,U}\Big(\frac{e^{itZ}U - U}{t}\Big)$$

for any $t \neq 0$. Since $\frac{d}{dt}\big(e^{itZ}\big)_{|t=0} = iZ$, the result follows from Remark 4.

The following identity may be viewed as a higher dimensional version of (4). A similar result was established in [7, Theorem 15] for self-adjoint operators. The proof is identical so we omit it.

Proposition 5. *Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$ and let $U_0, U_1, U_2 \in B(\ell_n^2)$ be unitary operators. Then for all $X \in B(\ell_n^2)$ we have*

$$T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_0,U_2}(X) - T_{f^{[1]}}^{U_1,U_2}(X) = T_{f^{[2]}}^{U_0,U_1,U_2}(U_0 - U_1, X).$$

We conclude this short section with a formula relating the second order perturbation operator (2) with a combination of operator integrals.

Theorem 6. *For any self-adjoint operator $Z \in B(\ell_n^2)$, for any unitary operator $U \in B(\ell_n^2)$ and for any $f \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f(e^{iZ}U) - f(U) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0} & \\ = T_{f^{[2]}}^{e^{iZ}U,U,U}(e^{iZ}U - U, iZU) &+ T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{iZ}U,U}(e^{iZ}U - U - iZU). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Proof. By (4) we have

$$f(e^{iZ}U) - f(U) = T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{iZ}U,U}(e^{iZ}U - U).$$

Combining with (5), we obtain

$$f(e^{iZ}U) - f(U) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0} = T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{iZ}U,U}(e^{iZ}U - U) - T_{f^{[1]}}^{U,U}(iZU).$$

By linearity, the right-hand side can be written as

$$T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{iZ}U,U}(e^{iZ}U - U - iZU) + \big(T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{iZ}U,U}(iZU) - T_{f^{[1]}}^{U,U}(iZU)\big).$$

Applying Proposition 5, we obtain that

$$T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{iZ}U,U}(iZU) - T_{f^{[1]}}^{U,U}(iZU) = T_{f^{[2]}}^{e^{iZ}U,U,U}(e^{iZ}U - U, iZU),$$

and this yields the desired identity (6). □

4. Finite-dimensional constructions

In this section we establish various estimates concerning finite dimensional operators. The symbol 'const' will stand for uniform positive constants, not depending on the dimension.

The estimates we are going to establish in this section start from a result going back to [1]. Let $h\colon [-e^{-1}, e^{-1}] \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$h(x) := \begin{cases} |x|\Big(\log\Big|\log\frac{|x|}{e}\Big|\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then h is a C^1-function. We may extend it to a 2π-periodic C^1-function, that we still denote by h for convenience.

According to [1, Section 3], there exists a constant $c > 0$ and, for any $n \geq 3$, self-adjoint operators $R_n, D_n \in B(\ell^2_{2n})$ such that

$$\|R_nD_n - D_nR_n\|_\infty \leq \pi \tag{7}$$

and

$$\big\|R_nh(D_n) - h(D_n)R_n\big\|_\infty \geq c\,\log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{8}$$

By changing the dimension from $2n$ to $2n+1$ and adding a zero on the diagonal, one may obtain the above results for some self-adjoint operators $R_n, D_n \in B(\ell^2_{2n+1})$ satisfying the additional property

$$0 \in \sigma(D_n). \tag{9}$$

We shall derive the following result.

Theorem 7. *For any $n \geq 3$, there exist self-adjoint operators $A_n, B_n \in B(\ell^2_{2n+1})$ such that $B_n \neq 0$, $0 \in \sigma(A_n)$,*

$$\big\|h(A_n + B_n) - h(A_n)\big\|_\infty \geq \mathrm{const}\ \log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\|B_n\|_\infty,$$

and the operators A_n and $A_n + B_n$ are conjugate. That is, there exists a unitary operator $S_n \in B(\ell^2_{2n+1})$ such that $A_n + B_n = S_n^{-1}A_nS_n$.

Proof. Let us first observe that for any $N \geq 1$ and any operators $X, Y \in B(\ell^2_N)$,

$$\frac{e^{itX}Y - Ye^{itX}}{t} \longrightarrow i(XY - YX) \quad \text{as } t \to 0. \tag{10}$$

Indeed, this follows from the fact that $\frac{d}{dt}(e^{itX})_{|t=0} = iX$.

Consider D_n and R_n satisfying (7), (8) and (9). For any $t > 0$, define

$$B_{n,t} := e^{itR_n}D_ne^{-itR_n} - D_n.$$

On the one hand, applying (10) with $X = R_n$ and $Y = D_n$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t}\|B_{n,t}\|_\infty &= \frac{1}{t}\big\|e^{itR_n}D_ne^{-itR_n} - D_n\big\|_\infty \\ &= \frac{1}{t}\big\|e^{itR_n}D_n - D_ne^{itR_n}\big\|_\infty \\ &\longrightarrow \|R_nD_n - D_nR_n\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $t \to 0$.

On the other hand, using the identity

$$h(e^{itR_n}D_ne^{-itR_n}) = e^{itR_n}h(D_n)e^{-itR_n}$$

and applying (10) with $X = R_n$ and $Y = h(D_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{t}\big\|h(D_n + B_{n,t}) - h(D_n)\big\|_\infty &= \frac{1}{t}\big\|e^{itR_n}h(D_n)e^{-itR_n} - h(D_n)\big\|_\infty\\ &= \frac{1}{t}\big\|e^{itR_n}h(D_n) - h(D_n)e^{itR_n}\big\|_\infty\\ &\longrightarrow \|R_n h(D_n) - h(D_n)R_n\|_\infty\end{aligned}$$

as $t \to 0$.

Therefore, there exists $t > 0$ such that

$$\frac{t}{2}\,\|R_nD_n - D_nR_n\|_\infty \leq \|B_{n,t}\|_\infty \leq 2\pi t \tag{11}$$

and

$$\big\|h(D_n + B_{n,t}) - h(D_n)\big\|_\infty \geq c\,\frac{\log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}\,t.$$

The above two estimates lead to

$$\big\|h(D_n + B_{n,t}) - h(D_n)\big\|_\infty \geq \frac{c}{4\pi}\,\log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\|B_{n,t}\|_\infty.$$

Furthermore property (8) implies that D_n and R_n do not commute. Hence the first inequality in (11) ensures that $B_{n,t} \neq 0$.

To get the result, we set $A_n = D_n$ and $B_n = B_{n,t}$. According to the definition of $B_{n,t}$, the operators A_n and $A_n + B_n$ are conjugate. All other properties of the statement of the theorem follow from the above estimates and (9). ☐

Let $g \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$ be the unique function satisfying

$$g(e^{i\theta}) = h(\theta), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{12}$$

The following theorem translates the preceding result into the setting of unitary operators.

Theorem 8. *For any $n \geq 3$, there exist unitary operators $H_n, K_n \in B(\ell^2_{2n+1})$ such that*

$$H_n \neq K_n, \quad \sigma(H_n) = \sigma(K_n), \quad 1 \in \sigma(H_n),$$

and

$$\|g(K_n) - g(H_n)\|_\infty \geq \text{const}\ \log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|K_n - H_n\|_\infty. \tag{13}$$

Proof. Given any $n \geq 3$, let A_n, B_n be the operators from Theorem 7, and set

$$H_n = e^{iA_n} \qquad \text{and} \qquad K_n = e^{i(A_n+B_n)}.$$

These are unitary operators. Since A_n and $A_n + B_n$ are conjugate, they have the same spectrum hence in turn, $\sigma(H_n) = \sigma(K_n)$. Moreover $1 \in \sigma(H_n)$ since $0 \in \sigma(A_n)$. Since A_n and $A_n + B_n$ are conjugate but different, their sets of spectral projections are different. This implies that $H_n \neq K_n$.

By construction we have

$$g(H_n) = h(A_n) \qquad \text{and} \qquad g(K_n) = h(A_n + B_n).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 7, we have

$$\|g(K_n) - g(H_n)\|_\infty \geq \text{const}\,\log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|B_n\|_\infty.$$

Moreover

$$\|K_n - H_n\|_\infty = \big\|e^{i(A_n+B_n)} - e^{iA_n}\big\|_\infty \leq \|B_n\|_\infty$$

by [15, Lemma 8]. This yields the result. ☐

Let $f\colon \mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$f(z)=(z-1)g(z), \qquad z\in\mathbb{T}. \tag{14}$$

It turns out that $f\in C^2(\mathbb{T})$. This follows from the definition of h, which is C^2 on $(-e^{-1},e^{-1})\setminus\{0\}$, and the fact that $\lim_{x\to 0} xh''(x)=0$. Details are left to the reader.

We also define an auxiliary function $\varsigma:\mathbb{T}^3\to\mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\varsigma(z_0,z_1,z_2)=z_1 f^{[2]}(z_0,z_1,z_2). \tag{15}$$

Lemma 9. *For any $z_0,z_2\in\mathbb{T}$, we have*

$$\varsigma(z_0,1,z_2)=g^{[1]}(z_0,z_2).$$

Proof. By the definition of ς, and since $z_1=1$, it is enough to prove that

$$f^{[2]}(z_0,1,z_2)=g^{[1]}(z_0,z_2), \qquad z_0,z_2\in\mathbb{T}.$$

We have to consider several different cases. Let us first assume that $z_0\neq z_2$. If $z_0\neq 1$ and $z_2\neq 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}f^{[2]}(z_0,1,z_2)&=\frac{f^{[1]}(z_0,1)-f^{[1]}(1,z_2)}{z_0-z_2}=\frac{\frac{f(z_0)-f(1)}{z_0-1}-\frac{f(1)-f(z_2)}{1-z_2}}{z_0-z_2}\\&=\frac{g(z_0)-g(z_2)}{z_0-z_2}=g^{[1]}(z_0,z_2).\end{aligned}$$

If $z_0=1$ and $z_2\neq 1$, then using $\frac{d}{dz}f(z)_{|z=1}=g(1)=h(0)=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}f^{[2]}(1,1,z_2)&=\frac{f^{[1]}(1,1)-f^{[1]}(1,z_2)}{1-z_2}=\frac{\frac{d}{dz}f(z)_{|z=1}-\frac{f(1)-f(z_2)}{1-z_2}}{1-z_2}\\&=\frac{-g(z_2)}{1-z_2}=g^{[1]}(1,z_2).\end{aligned}$$

The argument is similar, when $z_0\neq 1$ and $z_2=1$.

Assume now that $z_0=z_2$. In this case, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}f^{[2]}(z_0,1,z_0)&=\frac{d}{dz}f^{[1]}(z,1)_{|z=z_0}=\frac{d}{dz}\Big(\frac{f(z)-f(1)}{z-1}\Big)_{|z=z_0}\\&=\frac{d}{dz}g(z)_{|z=z_0}=g^{[1]}(z_0,z_0).\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 10. *For any $n\geq 3$, there exist unitary operators $H_n,K_n\in B(\ell^2_{2n+1})$ such that*

$$\sigma(H_n)=\sigma(K_n),$$

and

$$\big\|T_\varsigma^{K_n,H_n,H_n}\colon \mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\times\mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\to\mathcal{S}^1_{2n+1}\big\|\geq \text{const}\,\log(n)^{\frac12}. \tag{16}$$

Proof. Take H_n,K_n as in Theorem 8; these unitary operators have the same spectrum. Let $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^{2n+1}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of the operator H_n, counted with multiplicity. Since $1\in\sigma(H_n)$, we may assume that $\mu_1=1$. According to Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, we have

$$\big\|T_\varsigma^{K_n,H_n,H_n}\colon \mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\times\mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\to\mathcal{S}^1_{2n+1}\big\| = \max_{1\leq k\leq 2n+1}\big\|T_{\varsigma_k}^{K_n,H_n}\colon \mathcal{S}^\infty_{2n+1}\to\mathcal{S}^\infty_{2n+1}\big\|,$$

where, for any $k=1,\dots,2n+1$, we set

$$\varsigma_k(z_0,z_1):=\varsigma(z_0,\mu_k,z_1), \quad z_0,z_1\in\mathbb{T}.$$

In particular, the inequality

$$\big\|T_\varsigma^{K_n,H_n,H_n}\colon \mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\times\mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\to\mathcal{S}^1_{2n+1}\big\|\geq\big\|T_{\varsigma_1}^{K_n,H_n}\colon \mathcal{S}^\infty_{2n+1}\to\mathcal{S}^\infty_{2n+1}\big\|$$

holds. From Lemma 9, we have that

$$\varsigma_1(z_0,z_1)=\varsigma(z_0,1,z_1)=g^{[1]}(z_0,z_1).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left\|T_{\varsigma}^{K_n,H_n,H_n}\colon \mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\times\mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\to\mathcal{S}^1_{2n+1}\right\|\geq\left\|T_{g^{[1]}}^{K_n,H_n}\colon \mathcal{S}^\infty_{2n+1}\to\mathcal{S}^\infty_{2n+1}\right\|. \tag{17}$$

Since $H_n\neq K_n$, we derive

$$\left\|T_{\varsigma}^{K_n,H_n,H_n}\colon \mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\times\mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\to\mathcal{S}^1_{2n+1}\right\|\geq\frac{\left\|T_{g^{[1]}}^{K_n,H_n}(K_n-H_n)\right\|_\infty}{\|K_n-H_n\|_\infty}.$$

From the identity (4), we have $T_{g^{[1]}}^{K_n,H_n}(K_n-H_n)=g(K_n)-g(H_n)$. Hence the above inequality means that

$$\left\|T_{\varsigma}^{K_n,H_n,H_n}\colon \mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\times\mathcal{S}^2_{2n+1}\to\mathcal{S}^1_{2n+1}\right\|\geq\frac{\|g(K_n)-g(H_n)\|_\infty}{\|K_n-H_n\|_\infty}.$$

Applying (13) we obtain the desired estimate. □

We are now ready to prove the final estimate of this section.

Corollary 11. *For any $n\geq 3$, there exist a self-adjoint operator $W_n\in B(\ell^2_{8n+4})$ with $\|W_n\|_2\leq 1$ and a unitary operator $U_n\in B(\ell^2_{8n+4})$ such that*

$$\left\|T_{f^{[2]}}^{U_n,U_n,U_n}(W_nU_n,W_nU_n)\right\|_1\geq \text{const}\ \log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. We take H_n and K_n given by Corollary 10. Then we consider

$$V_n:=\begin{pmatrix}K_n & 0\\ 0 & H_n\end{pmatrix}\qquad\text{and then}\qquad U_n:=\begin{pmatrix}V_n & 0\\ 0 & V_n\end{pmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

Then V_n is a unitary operator acting on ℓ^2_{4n+2} and U_n is a unitary operator acting on ℓ^2_{8n+4}.

We claim that there exists a self-adjoint operator $W_n\in B(\ell^2_{8n+4})$ such that $\|W_n\|_2\leq 1$ and

$$\left\|T_{\varsigma}^{U_n,U_n,U_n}(W_n,W_n)\right\|_1\geq \text{const}\ \log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Indeed, using (16) and the fact that H_n and K_n have the same sprectrum, this follows from the proofs of [7, Lemmas 22-25]. Indeed the arguments there can be used word for word in the present case. It therefore suffices to show

$$\left\|T_{\varsigma}^{U_n,U_n,U_n}(W_n,W_n)\right\|_1=\left\|T_{f^{[2]}}^{U_n,U_n,U_n}(W_nU_n,W_nU_n)\right\|_1. \tag{20}$$

For that purpose we set $N=8n+4$ and consider a spectral decomposition $U_n=\sum_{i=1}^N z_iP_i$ of U_n. Then by definition (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{f^{[2]}}^{U_n,U_n,U_n}(W_nU_n,W_nU_n)&=\sum_{i,j,k=1}^N f^{[2]}(z_i,z_k,z_j)P_i(W_nU_n)P_k(W_nU_n)P_j\\
&=\sum_{i,j,k=1}^N f^{[2]}(z_i,z_k,z_j)P_iW_n\Big(\sum_{l=1}^N z_lP_l\Big)P_kW_nP_jU_n\\
&=\sum_{i,j,k=1}^N z_kf^{[2]}(z_i,z_k,z_j)P_iW_nP_kW_nP_jU_n\\
&\overset{(15)}{=}\sum_{i,j,k=1}^N \varsigma(z_i,z_k,z_j)P_iW_nP_kW_nP_jU_n\\
&=T_{\varsigma}^{U_n,U_n,U_n}(W_n,W_n)U_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since U_n is a unitary, this equality implies (20), which completes the proof. □

5. A solution to Peller's problem for unitary operators

In this section, we answer Peller's question raised in [14, Problem 1] in the negative.

Theorem 12. *There exist a function $f \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$, a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a unitary operator $U \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and a self-adjoint operator $Z \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$f\big(e^{iZ}U\big) - f(U) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0} \notin \mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{H}). \tag{21}$$

In the above statement, $\frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0}$ denotes the derivative of this function at $t = 0$. We refer to [14, (2.7)] and the references therein for the facts that for any $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$, for any unitary operator $U \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and any self-adjoint operator $Z \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$, the difference operator $f\big(e^{iZ}U\big) - f(U)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$ and the function $t \mapsto f(e^{itZ}U)$ is differentiable from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore, the operator in (21) belongs to $S^2(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 12 will be proved with the function f given by (14). We will combine a direct sum argument and the following lemma, whose proof relies on Corollary 11.

Lemma 13. *For any $n \geq 1$, there exist a non zero self-adjoint operator $Z_n \in B(\ell^2_{8n+4})$ and a unitary operator $U_n \in B(\ell^2_{8n+4})$, such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Z_n\|_2^2 < \infty, \tag{22}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\Big\| f(e^{iZ_n}U_n) - f(U_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ_n}U_n)\big)_{|t=0} \Big\|_1}{\|Z_n\|_2^2} = \infty. \tag{23}$$

Proof. We fix $n \geq 3$ and we take W_n and U_n given by Corollary 11. Note that changing W_n into $\|W_n\|_2^{-1} W_n$, we may (and do) assume that $\|W_n\|_2 = 1$. We consider the sequence

$$W_{m,n} = \frac{1}{m} W_n, \quad m \geq 1,$$

and we set

$$R_{m,n} := f(e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n) - f(U_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itW_{m,n}}U_n)\big)_{|t=0}.$$

By Theorem 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 R_{m,n} = {} & T_{f^{[2]}}^{e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n,U_n,U_n}\big(m(e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n - U_n), iW_nU_n\big) \\ & + T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n,U_n}\big(m^2(e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n - U_n - iW_{m,n}U_n)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Note that

$$m\big(e^{iW_{m,n}} - I_n\big) \longrightarrow iW_n \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

Hence by Lemma 3, we have

$$T_{f^{[2]}}^{e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n,U_n,U_n}\big(m(e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n - U_n), iW_nU_n\big) \longrightarrow T_{f^{[2]}}^{U_n,U_n,U_n}(iW_nU_n, iW_nU_n)$$

as $m \to \infty$. This result and Corollary 11 imply that for m large enough, we have

$$\Big\| T_{f^{[2]}}^{e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n,U_n,U_n}\big(m(e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n - U_n), iW_nU_n\big) \Big\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{25}$$

We now turn to the analysis of the second term in the right hand side of (24). Since $f \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$, there exists a constant $K > 0$ (only depending on f and not on either n or the operators U_n and $W_{m,n}$) such that

$$\big\| T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n,U_n} \colon \mathcal{S}^1_{8n+4} \to \mathcal{S}^1_{8n+4} \big\| \leq K.$$

This follows from [3] (see also [12]).

Now observe that

$$m^2\big(e^{iW_{m,n}} - I_n - iW_{m,n}\big) \longrightarrow \frac{W_n^2}{2} \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

Hence we have

$$\Big\|T_{f^{[1]}}^{e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n,U_n}\big(m^2(e^{iW_{m,n}}U_n - U_n - iW_{m,n}U_n)\big)\Big\|_1 \leq K\|W_n^2\|_1 = K\|W_n\|_2^2 \tag{26}$$

for m large enough.

Combining (25) and (26), we deduce from the identity (24) the existence of an integer $m \geq 1$ for which we have an estimate

$$m^2\|R_{m,n}\|_1 \geq \text{const } \log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{27}$$

We may assume that $m \geq n$, which ensures that

$$\|W_{m,n}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then we set $Z_n = W_{m,n}$. The preceding inequality implies that $\sum_n \|Z_n\|_2^2 < \infty$. Since $\|W_n\|_2 = 1$, we have $\|Z_n\|_2 = \frac{1}{m}$ hence the estimate (27) yields (23). ☐

Proof of Theorem 12. We apply Lemma 13 above. We set

$$\beta_n := \big\|f(e^{iZ_n}U_n) - f(U_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ_n}U_n)\big)_{|t=0}\big\|_1$$

for any $n \geq 1$. Since $\big\{\beta_n\|Z_n\|_2^{-2}\big\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an unbounded sequence, by (23), there exists a positive sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n\beta_n\|Z_n\|_2^{-2} = \infty. \tag{28}$$

Set

$$N_n = \big[\alpha_n\|Z_n\|_2^{-2}\big] + 1,$$

where $[\,\cdot\,]$ denotes the integer part of a real number. We have both

$$N_n\|Z_n\|_2^2 \leq \alpha_n + \|Z_n\|_2^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad N_n \geq \alpha_n\|Z_n\|_2^{-2}.$$

Hence it follows from (28), (22) and (23) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n\|Z_n\|_2^2 < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n\beta_n = \infty.$$

We let $\mathcal{H}_n = \ell^2_{N_n}(\ell^2_{8n+4})$ and we let $\tilde{Z}_n$ (resp. $\tilde{U}_n$) be the element of $B(\mathcal{H}_n)$ obtained as the direct sum of N_n copies of Z_n (resp. U_n). Then $\tilde{Z}_n$ is a self-adjoint operator and $\|\tilde{Z}_n\|_2^2 = N_n\|Z_n\|_2^2$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\|\tilde{Z}_n\|_2^2 < \infty\,. \tag{29}$$

Likewise $\tilde{U}_n$ is a unitary operator and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|f(e^{i\tilde{Z}_n}\tilde{U}_n) &- f(\tilde{U}_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{it\tilde{Z}_n}\tilde{U}_n)\big)_{|t=0}\big\|_1 \\ &= N_n\big\|f(e^{iZ_n}U_n) - f(U_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ_n}U_n)\big)_{|t=0}\big\|_1 = N_n\beta_n.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\big\|f(e^{i\tilde{Z}_n}\tilde{U}_n) - f(\tilde{U}_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{it\tilde{Z}_n}\tilde{U}_n)\big)_{|t=0}\big\|_1 = \infty.$$

We finally consider the direct sum

$$\mathcal{H} = \overset{2}{\oplus}_{n\geq 1}\mathcal{H}_n.$$

We let Z be the direct sum of the $\tilde{Z}_n$, defined by $Z(\xi) = \{\tilde{Z}_n(\xi_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for any $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Property (29) ensures that Z is well-defined and belongs to $\mathcal{S}^2(\mathcal{H})$, with $\|Z\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|\tilde{Z}_n\|_2^2$. Likewise we let U be the direct sum of the $\tilde{U}_n$. This is a unitary operator and $\frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0}$ is the direct sum of the $\frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{it\tilde{Z}_n}\tilde{U}_n)\big)_{|t=0}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|f(e^{iZ}U) - f(U) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{itZ}U)\big)_{|t=0}\big\|_1 \\ = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \big\|f(e^{i\tilde{Z}_n}\tilde{U}_n) - f(\tilde{U}_n) - \frac{d}{dt}\big(f(e^{it\tilde{Z}_n}\tilde{U}_n)\big)_{|t=0}\big\|_1 .\end{aligned}$$

Since this sum is infinite, we obtain the assertion (21). ☐

Acknowledgement. The authors C.C and C.L have been supported by the research program ANR 2011 BS01 008 01 and by the "Conseil régional de Franche-Comté". The authors D.P., F.S and A.T. have been supported by the Australian Research Council grant DP150100920.

References

[1] W. van Ackooij, B. de Pagter, F. A. Sukochev, *Domains of infinitesimal generators of automorphism flows*, J. Funct. Anal. **218** (2005), no. 2, 409424.

[2] P. J. Ayre, M. G. Cowling, F. A. Sukochev, *Operator Lipschitz estimates in the unitary setting,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[3] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomyak, Double Stieltjes operator integrals III (in Russian), Probl. Math. Phys., Leningrad Univ., 6 (1973), 27–53.

[4] M. Sh. Birman, D. R. Yafaev, *The spectral shift function. The papers of M. G. Kreĭn and their further development* (Russian). Algebra i Analiz **4** (1992), no. 5, 1–44. Translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **4** (1993), no. 5, 833–870.

[5] M. Caspers, D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *Weak type estimates for the absolute value mapping.* J. Operator Theory, **73** (2015), no. 2, 101–124.

[6] M. Caspers, D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *Weak type commutator and Lipschitz estimates: resolution of the Nazarov-Peller conjecture,* arXiv:1506.00778.

[7] C. Coine, C. Le Merdy, D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, A. Tomskova, *Resolution of Peller's problem concerning Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formulae,* arXiv:1504.03843.

[8] Yu. B. Farforovskaya, *An example of a Lipschitz function of self-adjoint operators with nonnuclear difference under a nuclear perturbation.*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **30** (1972), 146–153.

[9] M. G. Kreĭn, *On the trace formula in perturbation theory* (Russian), Mat. Sbornik N.S. **33** (75), (1953), 597–626.

[10] M. G. Kreĭn, *On the perturbation determinant and the trace formula for unitary and self-adjoint operators* (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **144** (1962), 268–271. Translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 707–710.

[11] M. G. Kreĭn, *Some new studies in the theory of perturbations of self-adjoint operators*, First Math. Summer School, Part I (Russian), Izdat. "Naukova Dumka", Kiev, 1964, pp. 103–187.

[12] V. V. Peller, *Hankel operators in the theory of perturbations of unitary and selfadjoint operators*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 19 (1985), no. 2, 37–51 (in Russian), English translation: Funct. Anal. Appl. 19 (1985), 111–123.

[13] V. V. Peller, *Hankel operators in the perturbation theory of unbounded selfadjoint operators.* Analysis and partial differential equations, 529–544, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 122, Dekker, New York, 1990.

[14] V. V. Peller, *An extension of the Koplienko-Neidhardt trace formulae.* J. Funct. Anal. **221** (2005), no. 2, 456–481.

[15] D. Potapov and F. Sukochev, *Operator-Lipschitz functions in Schatten-von Neumann classes*, Acta Math. **207** (2011), no. 2, 375–389.

E-mail address: `clement.coine@univ-fcomte.fr`

E-mail address: `clemerdy@univ-fcomte.fr`

E-mail address: `d.potapov@unsw.edu.au`

E-mail address: `f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au`

E-mail address: `a.tomskova@unsw.edu.au`

D.P, F.S, A.T: School of Mathematics & Statistics, University of NSW, Kensington NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA

C.C, C.L: Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Franche-comté, 25030 Besançon Cedex, FRANCE